

Application No.: 10/584,191  
Art Unit: 3656

Amendment under 37 CFR §1.116  
Attorney Docket No.: 062714

**AMENDMENTS TO THE DRAWINGS**

The attached replacement sheet of drawings includes changes to Fig. 9. In the attached sheet of drawings, "PRIOR ART" reference has been added.

**REMARKS**

Claims 1-2 and 4-8 are pending in the present application. By this Amendment, claim 4 has been canceled and claims 1, 6 and 8 have been amended. It is submitted that this Amendment is fully responsive to the Office Action dated January 13, 2009.

**Drawings**

The drawings filed on October 23, 2008 are objected to by the Examiner. The Examiner requests that Figure 9 be labeled as “Prior Art” since the Examiner alleges it shows only that which is old in the art.

This objection is respectfully traversed. It is submitted that Fig. 9 has been amended to overcome this objection.

**Specification**

The paragraph beginning on page 10, line 1, has been amended for clarification.

**Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. §102**

**Claims 1, 2, 6 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as being anticipated by Takeki (JP 04-164540).**

This rejection is respectfully traversed. Claim 1, as amended, now calls for the feature of *“wherein the track member is formed with a rolling member rolling groove extending in the axial direction thereof as the guide portion, the movable member is formed with a loaded rolling member rolling groove opposing to the rolling member rolling groove formed to the track member, and the movable member has both side surfaces to each of which vertical two rows of the loaded rolling member rolling grooves are formed, and a number of rolling member are interposed between the rolling member rolling groove of the track member and the loaded rolling member rolling groove of the movable member to be rollable therebetween.”* Also, independent claims 6 and 8 have been amended to include similar feature. This Amendment is supported by claim 4 and the specification (page 10, lines 8-10).

It is submitted that the Examiner's rejection of anticipation is moot in view of this Amendment. Accordingly, withdrawal of the rejection regarding claims 1, 2, 6 and 8 is respectfully requested.

**Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. §103**

**Claims 1, 4, 6 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Kuo et al. (US 2003/0164054) in view of Takeki (JP 04-164540).**

This rejection is respectfully traversed.

**Independent claims 1 and 6**

Independent claims 1 and 6 calls for the amended feature of “*wherein the track member is formed with a rolling member rolling groove extending in the axial direction thereof as the guide portion, the movable member is formed with a loaded rolling member rolling groove opposing to the rolling member rolling groove formed to the track member, and the movable member has both side surfaces to each of which vertical two rows of the loaded rolling member rolling grooves are formed, and a number of rolling member are interposed between the rolling member rolling groove of the track member and the loaded rolling member rolling groove of the movable member to be rollable therebetween.*”

It is submitted that none of the cited references discloses or suggests the amended feature of claims 1 and 6. Moreover, according to this structure, the inventions of claims 1 and 6 have an effect that “such four-rows arrangement of the ball circulation passages will bear the load applied to the movable block in every direction in the section shown in Fig. 2” (please see page 11, lines 3-5 of the specification).

Accordingly, claims 1 and 6 distinguish over Kuo and Takeki.

Claim 7 is dependent from claim 6 and recites the additional features set forth therein. Accordingly claim 7 also distinguishes over Kuo and Takeki for at least the reasons set forth above.

**Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Takeki (JP 04-164540) in view of JP 61-29163.**

This rejection is respectfully traversed. It is submitted that claim 5 is dependent from claim 1 and recites the additional features set forth therein. Accordingly claim 5 also distinguishes over Takeki and JP 61-29163 for at least the reasons set forth above.

Application No.: 10/584,191  
Art Unit: 3656

Amendment under 37 CFR §1.116  
Attorney Docket No.: 062714

In view of the aforementioned amendments and accompanying remarks, Applicants submit that the claims, as herein amended, are in condition for allowance. Applicants request such action at an early date.

If the Examiner believes that this application is not now in condition for allowance, the Examiner is requested to contact Applicants' undersigned attorney to arrange for an interview to expedite the disposition of this case.

If this paper is not timely filed, Applicants respectfully petition for an appropriate extension of time. The fees for such an extension or any other fees that may be due with respect to this paper may be charged to Deposit Account No. 50-2866.

Respectfully submitted,  
**WESTERMAN, HATTORI, DANIELS & ADRIAN, LLP**

/Tsuyoshi NAKAMURA/  
Tsuyoshi Nakamura  
Limited Recognition No. L0396  
Telephone: (202) 822-1100  
Facsimile: (202) 822-1111

TN/ya  
Encl.: Limited Recognition